From: <u>Curry, Tim</u>
To: <u>Scott Hudson</u>

 Subject:
 FW: I\_EPW12005\_05-1335\_0036

 Date:
 Thursday, April 11, 2019 10:10:00 AM

## Scott,

## **FYSA**

I sent this out earlier this morning. The contractor called and we discussed the level of effort we required and the additional charges we did not ask for that he was trying to invoice for. The call ended with him deciding to withdraw his invoice and resubmit as opposed to a suspension. Not sure if there will be any contrary information regarding this making it up to OEM but thought you should know in case.

From: Curry, Tim

Sent: Thursday, April 11, 2019 8:15 AM

To: samfritcher <samfritcher@airborneaspect.com>

**Cc:** Miller, Ross <miller.ross@epa.gov>; Langett, Eric <langett.eric@epa.gov>

**Subject:** RE: I\_EPW12005\_05-1335\_0036

## Sam,

I finally got a chance to review the first invoice you submitted for the ITC response. There is an issue with the amount of time being charged for the extra staff we did not request. The Regional Emergency Operations Center is expecting a total of six ASPECT contractor staff working on this response. The Data Processing Development and Sensor Support Contractor has two staff working all the processing of data, data review and data reporting management. That leaves four for the Airborne piloting and data collection service. The extra staff being charged were not requested or needed for this response.

**From:** samfritcher <<u>samfritcher@airborneaspect.com</u>>

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 02, 2019 1:31 PM

To: DDC-Kinvoices < DDC-Kinvoices@epa.gov > Cc: Curry, Tim < Curry.Timothy@epa.gov > Subject: I\_EPW12005\_05-1335\_0036

NOTICE: this email data is for the designated recipient only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original. Any unauthorized use of this email is prohibited."